TINA RAE DOUGLAS

Official Court Reporter PO Box 230 Rapid City, SD 57709 (605)394-2571

September 10, 2004

TO:

RE: State vs. Arleen Anderson (CRI 03-1747

Sentencing Hearing, 03-08-04

DESCRIPTION

Total number of pages: 15 @ 3.40 per page for original and one copy \$ 51.00

Handling and Sales Tax \$ 4.00

Total Due \$ 55.00

THANK YOU!

| 1 | STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA) IN CIRCUIT COURT |
|------|--|
| 2 |) SS. COUNTY OF PENNINGTON) SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT |
| 3 | ************* |
| 4 | THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA) |
| 5 | Plaintiff,) |
| 6 | V. SENTENCING HEARING |
| 7 | ARLEEN ANDERSON) CRI 03-1747 |
| 8 | Defendant.) |
| 9 | ************** |
| 10 | PROCEEDINGS: The above-entitled matter commenced on |
| 11 | the 8th day of March, 2004, at the Pennington County Courthouse Rapid City, South Dakota |
| 12 | BEFORE: The Honorable John J. Delaney |
| 13 | ************************************** |
| 14 | |
| 15 | APPEARANCES: MR. KENNETH VARNS Deputy State's Attorney Pennington County |
| 16 | Representing the State |
| 17 | MR. DENNIS GROFF Attorney at Law |
| 18 | Representing the Defendant |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| - 11 | |

* * PROCEEDINGS * * This is the time and place for the THE COURT: sentencing in the matter of State of South Dakota versus Arleen Anderson, court file 03-1747. The defendant is present in person with counsel, Mr. Groff. Mr. Varns is here on behalf of the state. Mr. Groff, have you had an opportunity to review 8 the presentence report? 10 MR. GROFF: Yes. THE COURT: And has your client? 12 Yes, she has. MR. GROFF: Do you have any additions or THE COURT: corrections to it? 15 MR. GROFF: It's accurate. 16 THE COURT: Mr. Varns, do you have any additions or corrections? 18 MR. VARNS: No, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: State have anything to say? 20 MR. VARNS: Yes, Your Honor. I know the Court has received the letter from 21 Victims' Assistance which includes and incorporates a letter from Carol Husby indicating what the impact of this crime has had on Special Olympics including causing an -some disability in raising funds, and the time and effort

8

10

13

15

16

21

20

22

23

24

that was put into investigating this case to determine the loss that was incurred due to Ms. Anderson's actions and I can assure the Court that that time was substantial.

The police report that was generated, including the paperwork that was involved in the bank transactions, was literally two thick binder books of documents, so considerable time was spent in investigating this case.

But probably more important than that is the damage it did to the reputation of Special Olympics.

On the other hand, Arleen Anderson has admitted 11 what she did pretty much from the get go in this investigation. She has taken steps to pay restitution and she was willing to do that as soon as the prosecution -- or actually even before the prosecution was commenced.

In weighing both sides of the equation, Your Honor, the state is recommending a probationary sentence for Arleen Anderson. I do recommend some county jail just due to the nature of the crime -- the nature of the theft -- but I would leave that in the Court's sound discretion. And I would recommend a probationary term of approximately three years. That's really all the recommendations that I have, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Groff?

MR. GROFF: You know, I quess a thousand times it's been said in this courtroom that we come in and we represent someone with no history. Well, that's fine. I want to be able to say that. But that's not where I am going in terms of my comments, Judge. From what I know because I worked with her for many months, Ms. Anderson came from California with her daughter after a divorce. She's not like a gambler, not like a drugger, not like an alcoholic, but in her own way she got in over her head.

And I want to pause for one fact for you here,
Judge. We talked right away about her finances because
immediately I challenged her about this contract. And I
said, how is it that you can think that you can somehow act
like someone that is going to put together a fund raiser
and also be the executive director and not tell anybody
about it and not be wrong. We talked about that many
times.

But more importantly, we talked about the idea that frankly it's one thing to be a volunteer; it's another thing to be a volunteer that is in over her head.

What's going to happen with that house that's referred to, that was on a contract for deed. Her payments on that house were, I believe, between 2 and \$3,000 for her and her daughter. And despite her goals and hopes that somehow she would be able to put together a business with fund raising, and all of the benefits that go to charities, but likewise benefits to her she wasn't producing money and

she put herself in a position where she was over her head and she reached into the pockets of the people who trusted her, she reached into that account through that bogus contract and secured \$7,500.

Now, I appreciate the comments Mr. Varns has made. We are, Judge -- so you know, we are, I guess, about \$29 short. We had it all paid when we brought the check at the time of the plea. But I have a check in the amount of \$29. I hope that's the difference we still owe on the restitution, and of course, I have a check for the Court costs here.

But the bottom line is, Judge, I got a woman, I believe -- she's in the position she's in, but to some extent, I just can't believe that she would think this is something that she would have ever gotten away with.

She is fearful. I think you are probably aware of this. She is fearful of your sentence. She is fearful of the effect it will have on her daughter. She's fearful of going to jail.

We have provided for you, and I hope you have,

Judge, the information -- I am acquainted with the group

known as Sun Chasers (SIC), and I change my comments here a

little bit. For whatever she has done, she was, I think,

well liked in the volunteer community. I think she was

effective in that capacity. The Court may not be aware of

it, but when they were looking for some of the money in checks, and actually when I got involved in the case, some of that was down in the basement I believe in shoe boxes or whatever it might be so I pause for one condition that I think you really need to impose here. I think she could continue to be a volunteer, Judge, both during her probation and after, but I encourage you not to permit her to be in any fiduciary capacity with any charitable organization to any extent during the period of time you would put her on probation if you determine you will do so. I think she will be a model probationer. I don't think she'll get in trouble again.

I think the state is correct when they talk about the harm it does to a charitable community. To find out that an executive director of a charity has done this -- no one wants to give money to a charity -- I pause for a second. A lot of people just don't want to give money to a charity because there are those who think the charity will not be sending their funds for the necessary use of the charitable organization and so they don't want to give at all.

One thing I do want to say because we are short, what's happened is over and over we have been in front of you many, many times. Maybe today will be the exception. She was always worried whether or not this would hit the

paper and for some good fortune or luck it didn't. And I assume what happened is -- I assume what happened is that probably through the circles of the charitable organizations the word got out anyway that the executive director was gone and there had been some taking of money. So I understand how they got hurt as that word spread on the street. We have been fortunate at least in terms of the media the fact about the executive director being charged and convicted and of course being sentenced.

Let me summarize. I ask that you give her probation. I ask that you give her a suspended imposition of sentence. I do believe she's very low risk for any type of violation here. I ask that you place that condition on her that she cannot be in a fiduciary role. I ask, Judge, that you would consider the fact that she has paid the entirety of the restitution.

Now, when you get to good will of an organization, maybe there is no way you can ever repay that, but she's paid at least what she can in money.

The Sun Chasers group is a group I am acquainted with that deal with handicapped children and deal with basically confidence, mobility, balance, and just sort of self worth in dealing with children. And they do it through riding horses and it's a volunteer group which she wants to work with and they want her to work with them. No

matter what she's done, she's a good volunteer. She will do -- she will do well with that organization and I ask that you consider giving her community service.

I will tell you what's really on the line here and I want to speak, specifically, you are going to decide today whether you are going to put this woman in jail for a period of time. She doesn't want that to happen. I am asking you to consider not doing it. I am asking you to consider an extensive period of community service which she will do well at and which will serve the community as an alternative.

If you decide to put her in jail for any period of time, there are a couple things I want you to know. First of all, if it's any significant period, she would ask that you consider it be after high school has been completed for this year so that her daughter could go back and live with her father during the summer during whatever period of time you gave her. What she could do is serve that sentence effective June 1 or something like that. If you give her jail, Judge, she's asking for work release.

There is nothing that's going to happen today that's going to atone for what she did. There is nothing she could say to you today that's going to make you feel like she could erase what's happened here. I don't think she will be in another courtroom in a criminal setting and

4

5

6

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't think she is going to be taking, no matter her financial circumstances, the money of anyone else including a charitable organization.

And that's all I have.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Ms. Anderson, what do you have to say?

DEFENDANT ANDERSON: There is no excuse for what I did. And there is no way I can truly make up for it because the damages goes far beyond the restitution.

However, I can begin to make up for some of it by the grace of the folks over at Sun Catchers who do want me to work with them and help them and I also do not wish to work in any fiduciary manner whatsoever there. And I promise not to do that. And there are some other organizations, likewise, that I had contact with through Special Olympics who have also stated that they would like my involvement.

And while I know this doesn't begin to make up for what I did in retrospect, I -- it's -- there is nothing I can do to fix that at this point. What I can do is put in as much time as possible to help these other groups that support the groups like Special Olympics. Sun Catchers supports the equestrian program we built so I can at least begin to make up for it there.

And that's all I know how to do to even begin to

make up for what I did. And I do look forward to the opportunity at some point to do that as soon as possible. They want me to work with them starting this week.

My daughter has also expressed an interest to get back involved in community service with me, which I think would be great for her.

And I just pray the Court will allow me to serve the community in that fashion and to maintain my status with my daughter in raising her because it is a good environment for her here with a lot of support, not just from myself, but her activities.

And I know I can't make up to Special Olympics what I did, but I can do my best by doing the best that I can in supporting these other opportunities through Sun Catchers and other groups like them.

THE COURT: Any reason I should not impose sentence at this time?

MR. GROFF: No, Judge. There is no reason why you shouldn't impose sentence at this time.

THE COURT: You entered a plea of guilty to this charge on January 27, of this year, do you recall that?

DEFENDANT ANDERSON: Yes, I do, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Is that still your plea today?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The previous lady that was in here was

to some extent in on a similar offense. She served no jail time and she considered it a joke, or at least didn't take it seriously. I don't think she does now, but she did.

And I say that just by way of warning because the fact that a sentence is not anywhere near as harsh as it could be does not mean that I am not serious about it.

I have read with detail several times the presentence report and I to this day am not sure what went sideways, but it did. So the sentence is this. It is five years in the South Dakota Penitentiary suspended for a period of five years.

The conditions of the suspension are as follows. You will pay over the course of the next two years additional restitution determined by me representing the costs of the investigation to the charity. If there is a disagreement you will have the opportunity for a hearing on that. I don't perceive this is the opportunity for a victim to make hay, but on the other hand they not only had a temporary loss, but a distraction from their duties and services to trace this down.

You will do over the course of those five years 1,000 hours of community service work. That comes to about 20 days a year.

You will obviously obey all laws.

I don't know whether drugs or alcohol are a

11 |

16.

problem and asking people doesn't help me answer that question unless you are talking to juveniles. They are astoundingly frank. Adults are not. But simply because I don't want to put you in jail -- and I know of nothing that reduces IQ and common sense as fast as either alcohol or drugs and leads to very stupid decisions.

You will not drink nor will you use drugs except those prescribed by a physician during the course of probation.

You will submit to periodic tests of breath or bodily fluids to that end. You will maintain contact as directed by your Court Services Officer. And you will follow all recommendations as given by that Court Services Officer.

I frankly see at this stage more harm done by putting you in jail than good accomplished. There is not the big public uproar or the big public announcement. I think the impact on your daughter would likely be severe, particularly following a divorce and I wish to avoid that.

But as you noticed from the last hearing, if you do not follow these conditions and instructions with good intent, there will be a piper to pay. Okay.

Do you have any question about that?

MR. GROFF: Judge, I have one question.

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

MR. GROFF: You may have anticipated my question.
You, of course, will retain jurisdiction for a period of
one year from that date over this sentence and she will in
my opinion serve this sentence well. I will keep my file
open. I know you have not granted, today, a suspended
imposition of sentence. Likely, we will still attempt to
approach you with that. If that's a futile measure I don't
know.

THE COURT: And following good performance for a

THE COURT: And following good performance for a year, no violations, I would be inclined to grant a suspended imposition of sentence. You must timely make the motion.

MR. GROFF: I will.

She's asked the question, which you may or may not have heard before. That is, may I have a glass of wine at home for dinner.

THE COURT: No.

MR. GROFF: I think we understand the answer.

Thank you.

THE COURT: It's real simple if I keep it none. It gets complicated if I say one. Then we start arguing about size of the glass. We aren't going there, so, no. The answer is none.

Now you are -- if you should be incarcerated in the penitentiary, your parole eligibility is 25 percent of

any sentence which you should receive. That is, if you for some heinous mistake on your part should ultimately be sentenced to the penitentiary for five years, your parole eligibility would be one and a quarter years, 25 percent of the total sentence, less credit for time served.

You have a right to appeal this sentence within 30 days of when it is signed and filed.

Questions?

MR. GROFF: No, Your Honor. I have no questions.

THE COURT: Mr. Varns, you will need to get, as

THE COURT: Mr. Varns, you will need to get, as the Victim's Assistance Office noted, an additional figure for me for that sum. Please share it with Mr. Groff. If there is an objection to it, let me know, and we'll have a hearing.

MR. VARNS: I will, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

(End of proceedings.)

STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA SS. CERTIFICATE COUNTY OF PENNINGTON I, Tina Rae Douglas, Official Court Reporter, 4 hereby certify that the foregoing pages, 1-14, inclusive, are a true and correct transcript of my stenotype notes. 6 Dated at Rapid City, South Dakota, this 10th day of September, 2004. 10 11 12 Tina Rae Douglas Official Court Reporter 13 My Commission expires: 09-25-06 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24